

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,078	07/28/2003	Lance D. Smith	0179.0038	5945
37247 75	590 10/13/2005		EXAM	INER
DAVID J. OLDENKAMP, ESQ. SHAPIRO & DUPONT LLP			THOMAS, AL	EXANDER S
233 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 700 SANTA MONICA, CA 90401		0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Communication	10/629,078	SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander Thomas	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 16(a). In no event, however, may a re rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 September 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•	•				
4) Claim(s) 1.3,4,6 and 8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
oj Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau		and and				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	🗖					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	٠, <u>ـــ</u> ٥, ــــ					

Application/Control Number: 10/629,078 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/26/05 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

 Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no antecedent basis for the term "edge of said impermeable body". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,078

Art Unit: 1772

5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the European patent document in view of Brayden et al or Heier. The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a sandwich panel comprising a honeycomb core with walls that extend laterally between first and second panels surfaces, a plastic barrier layer surrounding the core and adhesively attached to the core, and first and second skins attached to the barrier layer; see page 3, lines 8-30. However the reference does not teach a permeable core. The secondary references teach the desirability of perforating a honeycomb core in a sandwich panel; see column 2, lines 9-32 of Brayden et al, and column 1, lines 13-28 and column 2, lines 29-35 of Heier. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perforate the honeycomb core in the article of the primary reference in view of the teachings in the secondary reference in order to minimize out-gassing or emissions of volatile gases and prevent core collapse during laminating. Concerning claim 8, Figure 3 of the primary reference shows skins 4 extending past the edge of the body of the panel.

Page 3

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,078 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Olefande S. Nousa